

Revaluation 2010

Public Utilities Committee

Electricity Generation Lands

Practice Note 3 Valuation of Conventional Hydro Electricity Generators

1.0 Introduction

- 1.1 This guidance note is to assist with the valuation of any new or altered conventional hydro electricity subjects used to generate electricity where the power generated is mainly or exclusively for distribution for sale to consumers and are in receipt of either Renewable Obligation Certificates (ROC's) or Feed-In Tariffs (FITs) as administered by the Office of Gas and Electricity Markets (Ofgem).
- 1.2 This guidance note is not intended to cover the valuation of hydro pumped storage facilities. Guidance should be sought from Lanarkshire Valuation Joint Board for enquires relating to the generation of electricity from such subjects.
- 1.3 This guidance note is not appropriate for the valuation of those sites accredited with Scottish Renewables Obligation (SRO) under a price contract with the Non Fossil Purchasing Agency Scotland Ltd (NFPA Scotland Ltd). In practice no new site should fall into this category.
- 1.4 At Revaluation 2010 all conventional hydro electricity generating lands and heritages were valued on the Revenue Principle.

2.0 Basis of Valuation

- 2.1 New sites that have become operational since 1 April 2010 cannot be valued by the Revenue Principle and should be valued by application of the comparative principle to tone of the roll. These are subjects where the volume of trade or business carried on the lands and heritages is a relevant factor and accordingly the valuation according to tone of the roll must take this into account
- 2.2 The values adopted for existing subjects entering the Roll as at 1 April 2010 have been analysed in order to derive a unit of comparison expressed on a rate/MW basis for sites with different Load Factors. This allows comparison to be made with sites with a similar volume of trade or business at the valuation date. The data has been produced in tabulated format outlined in Appendices 1, 2 & 3.

R 2010 PUC PN 3 Page 1 of 4 Pages

2.3 The exception to this is conventional hydro electricity subjects with a generating capacity of 50kW or less. These are defined as micro generation per The Valuation for Rating (Plant and Machinery) (Scotland) Amendment Regulations 2008. In terms of this legislation certain items of plant and machinery defined as having "micro generation capacity" are excluded from valuation for rating. Consideration should be given to making an entry in respect of any buildings or other relevant rateable plant and machinery if applicable. Rateable items of plant and machinery should be valued by application of the Contractor's Basis of valuation with reference to guidance and replacement costs contained in the 2010 SAA/VOA Rating Cost Guide.

3.0 Entry in Valuation Roll

3.1 It is suggested that these subjects be described as Electricity Generation Lands.

4.0 Valuation Considerations

4.1 Site Accreditation

- 4.1.1 Prior to 1 April 2010, sites were accredited either as Scottish Renewables Obligation (SRO) under a price contract with Non Fossil Purchasing Agency Scotland Ltd (NFPA Scotland Ltd), or Renewable Obligation Certificates (ROC's) as administered by the Office of Gas and Electricity Markets (Ofgem). The earliest of the arrangements was SRO1 which was introduced in 1994 followed by SRO2 in 1997 and finally SRO3 in 1999. SRO contracts are due to expire between 2012 and 2019. ROC's were introduced in 2004.
- 4.1.2 With effect from 1 April 2010 an additional scheme has been introduced by the Government via Ogem that offers financial support for eligible low-carbon electricity technologies known as Feed In Tariffs (FIT's). This scheme is aimed at small scale installations generating up to a maximum capacity of 5MW. Those operators who are eligible can choose between the Renewable Obligation Scheme (ROC's) or transfer to the new Feed In Tariff Scheme (FIT's). Once an operator moves to FIT's they cannot change back to ROC's.
- 4.1.3 This guidance note relates to sites accredited with ROC or FIT contracts only. It is therefore vital that the valuer ascertains the type of contract the operator has entered into. In practice all new sites will be ROC or FIT.

4.2 Total Installed Generating Capacity of the site. (TIGC)

4.2.1 The Valuer should establish the Total Installed Generating Capacity (TIGC) of the site in megawatts (MW) from the operator. As a check, this information is available publicly on the Office of Gas and Electricity Markets (Ofgem) website which lists all accredited stations.
(www.ofgem.gov.uk)

R 2010 PUC PN 3 Page 2 of 4 Pages

4.3 Output of the site expressed as Load Factor (L.F.)

- 4.3.1 The volume of trade or business produced by any given site is determined by the output. The unit of measurement for electricity Generation Lands is based on Megawatt hours (MWh). Where possible, the Valuer should seek to obtain documentary evidence of any historical records that would assist in determining the volume of MWh the site is likely to generate per annum.
- 4.3.2 This may be available from a variety of sources. For example, site specific river flow rate measurement data gathered by the operator or any alternative source of data that the operator can provide that confirms the anticipated output for the development. In some instances it could also be disclosed during the planning process. This may be provided as an expected Load Factor expressed as a percentage or as expected Megawatt hours of output.
- 4.3.3 If the output in MWh's has been provided, the load factor percentage may be calculated as follows:-

Electricity generated by the development in Megawatt Hours

x 100

Total Installed Capacity in Megawatts x 8760 Hours

5.0 Valuation

- 5.1 The recommended Rate/MW for the appropriate Load Factor should be applied to the TIGC expressed in megawatts in accordance with the figures in Appendices 1, 2 & 3.
- 5.2 Guidance on the use of the tables contained in Appendices 1, 2 & 3:-
- 5.2.1 The rates provided in these Appendices are produced in order to facilitate a comparative valuation of all conventional hydro generating stations coming into the 2010 Revaluation Roll throughout the quinquennium where either a ROC or FIT contract has been entered into.
- 5.2.2 Appendix 1 provides recommended Rates/MW for various Load Factors to be applied to subjects with a Total Installed Generating Capacity (TIGC) greater than 0.05MW up to 0.24MW.

For example the NAV for a site with a TIGC of 0.15MW and LF of 20% would be calculated as follows:- $0.15 \times £7,160 = £1,074$, say £1,075.

When calculating the Rate/MW to be applied for subjects that have a LF exceeding 41%, an addition of £2,640 should be added for each incremental 1% Increase in LF.

For example the NAV for a site with a TIGC of 0.15MW and a LF of 50% would be calculated as follows:- $0.15 \times (£62,591 + (9 \times £2,640)) = £12,953$.

R 2010 PUC PN 3 Page 3 of 4 Pages

- 5.2.3 The table found at Appendix 2 provides recommended Rates/MW for subjects that have Total Installed Generating Capacities (TIGC) greater than 0.24MW but less than 1MW. When calculating the NAV, for such sites, the Total Installed Generating Capacity should be adopted and the rates/MW outlined in Table 2 interpolated as necessary.
- 5.2.4 Appendix 2 indicates the level of value per MW for the particular TIGC starting with a Load Factor of 20%. When calculating the NAV for subjects that have a higher LF, an addition of £2,640 should be added for each 1% increase in LF.
 - For example the NAV for a site with a TIGC of 0.40MW and a LF of 29% would be calculated as follows:- $0.40 \times (£11,848 + (9 \times £2,640)) = £14,243$.
- 5.2.5 Appendix 3 provides recommended Rates/MW to be applied to subjects with a Total Installed Generating Capacity (TIGC) of 1MW or more relative to the appropriate Load Factor (LF) of the site.
 - For example the NAV for a site with a TIGC of 1.5MW and a LF of 50% would be calculated as follows:- $1.5 \times (£70,093 + (9 \times £2,640)) = £140,780$.
- 5.2.6 Rounding of values will be determined by each assessor in line with their local scheme.

6.0 Alterations to Existing Sites

- 6.1 Any alterations made to an existing site either in terms of an increase or decrease in Total Installed Generating Capacity, should be valued by applying the appropriate table for the new TIGC and adopting the same Load Factor that was applied to the original valuation.
 - Exceptions to this would be:-
- 6.1.1 Where the change to the existing TIGC is so significant that the subjects could be considered to be entirely different in character from the original site.
- 6.1.2 A generating site on an existing SRO contract which expands with the new capacity qualifying for ROC's/FIT's thereby resulting in a mix of any of the 5 types of accreditation noted namely SRO 1, SRO 2, SRO 3, ROC's or FIT's.
- 6.2 In both cases assistance can be provided by Lanarkshire Valuation Joint Board.

R 2010 PUC PN 3 Page 4 of 4 Pages

Appendix 1

Table 1 - Recommended Rate/MW to NAV

TIGC 0.051MW to 0.24MW		
Load Factor	Rate/MW	
20%	£7,160	
21%	£9,799	
22%	£12,439	
23%	£15,078	
24%	£17,718	
25%	£20,358	
26%	£22,997	
27%	£25,637	
28%	£28,276	
29%	£30,916	
30%	£33,556	
31%	£36,195	
32%	£38,835	
33%	£41,474	
34%	£44,114	
35%	£46,754	
36%	£49,393	
37%	£52,033	
38%	£54,673	
39%	£57,312	
40%	£59,952	
41%	£62,591	

ADD £2,640 FOR EACH 1% OF L.F.

R 2010 PUC PN 3 Appendix 1 of 3

Appendix 2

Table 2 - Recommended Rate/Megawatt to be applied to NAV

TIGC Ranging From 0.24MW to 1.00MW				
TIGC MW	Rate/MW	L.F.	Addition for each 1% increase in L.F.	
0.24	£7,160	20%	£2,640	
0.30	£9,243	20%	£2,640	
0.35	£10,546	20%	£2,640	
0.40	£11,848	20%	£2,640	
0.45	£12,396	20%	£2,640	
0.50	£12,943	20%	£2,640	
0.55	£13,177	20%	£2,640	
0.60	£13,411	20%	£2,640	
0.65	£13,583	20%	£2,640	
0.70	£13,754	20%	£2,640	
0.75	£13,926	20%	£2,640	
0.80	£14,098	20%	£2,640	
0.85	£14,256	20%	£2,640	
0.90	£14,413	20%	£2,640	
0.95	£14,537	20%	£2,640	
1.00	£14,661	20%	£2,640	

Interpolate as necessary

R 2010 PUC PN 3 Appendix 2 of 3

Appendix 3

Table 3 - Recommended Rate/MW to NAV

TIGC 1MW or Greater		
Load Factor	Rate/MW	
20%	£14,661	
21%	£17,300	
22%	£19,940	
23%	£22,580	
24%	£25,219	
25%	£27,859	
26%	£30,498	
27%	£33,138	
28%	£35,778	
29%	£38,417	
30%	£41,057	
31%	£43,697	
32%	£46,336	
33%	£48,976	
34%	£51,615	
35%	£54,255	
36%	£56,895	
37%	£59,534	
38%	£62,174	
39%	£64,813	
40%	£67,453	
41%	£70,093	

ADD £2,640 FOR EACH 1% OF L.F.

R 2010 PUC PN 3 Appendix 3 of 3